

Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-099\_Es\_251  
evaluation

**ENDF**  
**B-VII**.dev

April 26, 2017

- fudge-4.0 Warnings:

1. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections  
*crossSectionSum label 0: total (Error # 0): CS Sum.*

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 1.02%

2. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 1 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [nubar]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

3. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 3 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

4. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 3 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 4 (n + Es251): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 4 (n + Es251): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 6 (n[multiplicity:'2'] + Es250 + gamma): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.402979e-09) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 8 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission]): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 8 ( $n[multiplicity: 'energyDependent', emissionMode: 'prompt'] + n[emissionMode: '1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission])$ ): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

10. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 9 ( $n + (Es251\_e1 \rightarrow Es251 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (4.242710e-10) is too small

11. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 10 ( $n + (Es251\_e2 \rightarrow Es251 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (3.129753e-09) is too small

12. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 11 ( $n + (Es251\_e3 \rightarrow Es251 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (4.024117e-10) is too small

13. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 12 ( $n + (Es251\_e4 \rightarrow Es251 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (3.756450e-09) is too small

14. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 13 ( $n + (Es251\_e5 \rightarrow Es251 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (4.306432e-11) is too small

15. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 14 ( $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + gamma)$ ): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

16. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 15 ( $Es252 + gamma$ ): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

17. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 15 (Es252 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

18. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 16 (n + Es251 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 1): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

19. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 17 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

20. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 18 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

21. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 19 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

22. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.  
*Section 20 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.*

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

- fudge-4.0 Errors:

1. Duplicate Eout in outgoing distribution  
*Reading ENDF file: ../n-099-Es-251.endf (Error # 0): Bad Eout*

WARNING: skipping duplicate e\_out = 5289540.0, i1 = 73 6 10.0  
 WARNING: skipping duplicate e\_out = 5289550.0, i1 = 73 7 20.0  
 WARNING: skipping duplicate e\_out = 5289560.0, i1 = 73 8 30.0  
 WARNING: skipping duplicate e\_out = 5289580.0, i1 = 73 9 50.0  
 ... plus 2 more instances of this message

2. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + \gamma)$  / Product:  $Es251\_c$  / Decay product:  $\gamma\_a$  / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*  
  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (114558.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)
3. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + \gamma)$  / Product:  $Es251\_c$  / Distribution: / uncorrelated - angular - isotropic: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*  
  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (114558.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (140000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (200000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (170000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)  
... plus 1 more instances of this message
4. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + \gamma)$  / Product:  $Es251\_c$  / Decay product:  $\gamma\_b$  / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*  
  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (140000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)
5. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + \gamma)$  / Product:  $Es251\_c$  / Decay product:  $\gamma\_c$  / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*  
  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (200000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)
6. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + \gamma)$  / Product:  $Es251\_c$  / Decay product:  $\gamma\_d$  / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*  
  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (170000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)
7. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range  
*reaction label 6:  $n + (Es251\_c \rightarrow Es251 + \gamma)$  / Product:  $Es251\_c$  / Decay product:  $\gamma\_e$  / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)*  
  
WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (250000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (108143.0 -> 20000000.0)
8. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 7:  $n[multiplicity:'2'] + Es250 + \gamma$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -6874514.551177979 eV vs -6786120. eV!
9. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 8:  $n[multiplicity:'3'] + Es249 + \gamma$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -12895613.58325195 eV vs -1.28064e7 eV!
10. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*reaction label 10:  $Es252 + \gamma$  (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 5200740.373596191 eV vs 5289530. eV!

11. Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities!  
*multiplicitySum label 8: n + (Es251\_c -> Es251 + gamma) total gamma multiplicity (Error # 0): summedMultiplicityMismatch*  
  
WARNING: Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities! Max diff: 23.47%
12. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 0: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='0'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 234818994571.2804 eV vs 2.158e8 eV!
13. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 1: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='1'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 234818994571.2804 eV vs 2.158e8 eV!
14. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 2: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='2'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 234818994571.2804 eV vs 2.158e8 eV!
15. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.  
*fissionComponent label 3: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='3'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch*  
  
WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 234818994571.2804 eV vs 2.158e8 eV!
16. A covariance matrix was not positive semi-definite, so it has negative eigenvalues.  
*Section 16 (n + Es251 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': / LegendreLValue L=1 vs 1 (Error # 0): Bad evs*  
  
WARNING: 9 negative eigenvalues! Worst case = -6.566434e-05

• njoy2012 Warnings:

1. Evaluation has no resonance parameters given  
*unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No RR*  
  

```
---message from unresr---mat 9911 has no resonance parameters
copy as is to nout
```
2. In some evaluations, the partial fission reactions MT=19, 20, 21, and 38 are given in File 3, but no corresponding distributions are given. In these cases, it is assumed that MT=18 should be used for the fission neutron distributions.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (0): HEATR/hinit (3)*  
  

```
---message from hinit---mt19 has no spectrum
mt18 spectrum will be used.
```
3. In some evaluations, the partial fission reactions MT=19, 20, 21, and 38 are given in File 3, but no corresponding distributions are given. In these cases, it is assumed that MT=18 should be used for the fission neutron distributions.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (1): HEATR/hinit (3)*

- message from hinit---mt458 is missing for this mat
4. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (2): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 16 does not give recoil za= 99250  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  5. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (3): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 17 does not give recoil za= 99249  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  6. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (4): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 51 does not give recoil za= 99251  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  7. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (5): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 52 does not give recoil za= 99251  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  8. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (6): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 53 does not give recoil za= 99251  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  9. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (7): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 54 does not give recoil za= 99251  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  10. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (8): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 55 does not give recoil za= 99251  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  11. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (9): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 91 does not give recoil za= 99251  
one-particle recoil approx. used.

  12. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.  
*heatr...prompt kerma (10): HEATR/hinit (4)*

---message from hinit---mf6, mt102 does not give recoil za= 99252  
photon momentum recoil used.

13. Evaluation has no resonance parameters given  
*purrr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No RR*

```
---message from purr---mat 9911 has no resonance parameters  
copy as is to nout
```

- **xsectplotter** Errors:

1. Duplicate Eout in outgoing distribution  
*(Error # 2): Bad Eout*

```
WARNING: skipping duplicate e_out = 5289540.0, i1 = 73 6 10.0  
WARNING: skipping duplicate e_out = 5289550.0, i1 = 73 7 20.0  
WARNING: skipping duplicate e_out = 5289560.0, i1 = 73 8 30.0  
WARNING: skipping duplicate e_out = 5289580.0, i1 = 73 9 50.0  
... plus 2 more instances of this message
```